	1
1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
3	EASTERN DIVISION
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
5	Plaintiff, Case No. 5:20MJ1030
6	Akron, Ohio vs. Tuesday, February 11, 2020
7	3:09 p.m. LARRY DEAN HARMON,
8	Defendant.
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF IDENTITY/DETENTION HEARING
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE KATHLEEN B. BURKE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12	A DDEAD ANICEC.
13	APPEARANCES:
14	For the Government: Daniel J. Riedl Office of the U.S. Attorney - Cleveland
15	Carl B. Stokes U.S. Courthouse 801 Superior Avenue, West, Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113
16	(216) 622–3600
17	Christopher B. Brown Office of the U.S. Attorney - DC
18	For the Defendant: Charles Flood
19	Flood & Flood Law Office 914 Preston at Main, Suite 800
20	Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 223-8877
21	Court Reporter: Caroline Mahnke, RMR, CRR, CRC
22	Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse 2 South Main Street, Suite 568
23	Akron, Ohio 44308 (330) 252-6021
24	
25	Proceedings recorded by ECRO; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

1	Tuesday, February 11, 2020
2	THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise. This Honorable
3	United States Court for the Northern District of Ohio is now
4	open for the transaction of business. The Honorable
5	Kathleen B. Burke presiding.
6	You may be seated.
7	The case before the Court carries Case Number
8	5:20MJ1030, United States of America versus Larry D. Harmon.
9	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
10	Would counsel for the United States please introduce
11	himself for the record?
12	MR. RIEDL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Thank
13	you. Dan Riedl on behalf of the United States. I'm joined
14	at counsel table with AUSA Chris Brown from the District of
15	Columbia, and also present are Riane Harper and Alden Pelker
16	from Main Justice.
17	THE COURT: Who will be speaking for the
18	government?
19	MR. RIEDL: I will be, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	Would counsel for defendant please introduce himself?
22	MR. FLOOD: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Charles
23	Flood for Mr. Harmon, appearing pro hac vice.
24	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
25	We did see on the docket your motion to be admitted

pro hac vice, and I believe that's been granted.

MR. FLOOD: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. We also have in the courtroom our Pretrial Services officer, Officer Julie Gray. Good afternoon.

THE PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. This hearing was scheduled as both an identity hearing and a detention hearing.

The purpose of the identity hearing is to determine whether probable cause exists to believe that the defendant in the courtroom is the defendant named in the charging document which is the indictment issued out of the District of Columbia.

The purpose of the probable cause hearing is to determine whether the government's motion for detention should be granted or denied.

And before we begin, I do want to note that defendant has a right to a detention hearing which may take place either in this district or in the charging district which is the District of Columbia.

However, he is entitled to only one detention hearing. He may choose which district he wishes to have the hearing in.

1	So it's my understanding, Mr. Flood, that Mr. Harmon
2	does wish to have the detention hearing in this district; is
3	that correct?
4	MR. FLOOD: That's correct, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: All right. I'm going to start by
6	having counsel for the United States state the charges that
7	have been made against Mr. Harmon as well as the penalties
8	associated with those charges.
9	MR. RIEDL: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	Mr. Harmon is charged in a three-count indictment.
11	Count 1 of the indictment alleges a violation of Title
12	18, United States Code, Section 1956(h). That is conspiracy
13	to launder monetary instruments.
14	It carries a potential maximum penalty of 20 years of
15	imprisonment, a \$250,000 fine, and three years of supervised
16	release.
17	Count 2 of the indictment alleges a violation of Title
18	18, United States Code, Section 1960(a). That is operating
19	an unlicensed money transmitting business.
20	That carries a maximum potential penalty of five years
21	of imprisonment, a \$250,000 fine, and three years of
22	supervised release.
23	Count 3 of the indictment alleges a violation of
24	District of Columbia Code Section 26-1023(e). That alleges
25	a violation of money transmission without a license.

That carries a maximum potential penalty of five years of imprisonment, a \$250,000 fine, and three years of supervised release.

Each of those counts of conviction will also require a \$100 mandatory special assessment.

The indictment also has forfeiture provisions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Riedl, you said, with respect to Count 3, that it alleged a violation of D.C. Code Section 26-1023(e) whereas in the indictment it says 26-1023(c).

MR. RIEDL: Thank you, Your Honor. You're correct. It is (c). My copy, it was difficult for me to read. But it is (c).

THE COURT: All right.

Another preliminary matter -- and I did state this at the time of the last hearing; I will state it again today -- that since this is a case that comes from another district, Mr. Harmon -- or defendant has the right to an identity hearing to determine whether he is the person named in the indictment.

During the hearing today as well as during the hearing last week I indicated that I would address the defendant as Mr. Harmon, but that my doing so or his responding when I address him in that way is neither a finding on my part nor an admission on his part that he is in fact the person named

in the indictment. We will make that determination later 1 2 on. 3 All right. Mr. Harmon, have you received a copy of 4 the indictment? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: And have you had an opportunity to 7 review the indictment with your attorney? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: All right. As I advised you at the 10 time of your initial appearance in this matter, you do have 11 a constitutional right to be represented by an attorney at 12 every stage of the proceedings in the case. 13 I also advised you that if you could not afford to 14 hire an attorney, the Court would appoint one without cost 15 to you to represent you. 16 Do you understand your right to an attorney? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: I understand that you have retained 19 Mr. Charles Flood who is seated next to you to be your 20 attorney in this matter. 21 Is that correct? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: The other thing I advised you of at 24 the time of the last proceeding is that you have a right to 25 remain silent.

You are not required to make any statement, and any
statement you do make may be used against you. If you start
to make a statement, you may stop at any time. You may also
speak with your attorney at any time.
Do you understand your right to remain silent?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. Let me now ask both
counsel, have you received a copy of the Pretrial Services
report?
Mr. Riedl?
MR. RIEDL: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And Mr. Flood?
MR. FLOOD: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Are both parties have
both parties had an adequate opportunity to prepare?
Mr. Riedl?
MR. RIEDL: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And Mr. Flood?
MR. FLOOD: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Let me now confirm that this
is not a case as to which a presumption applies under 18
United States Code, Section 3142.
Mr. Riedl?
MR. RIEDL: That's correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And Mr. Flood?

MR. FLOOD: Yes, Your Honor. Correct.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Harmon, at this hearing you have the right to cross-examine any witnesses that the government may present, and you also have the right to present evidence in your own behalf.

You have the right to testify, but you're not required to do so.

As I mentioned earlier, you do have the right to remain silent.

You also have the right to speak with your attorney at any time during the hearing.

The rules of evidence do not apply to this proceeding. Both parties have the right to proceed in whole or in part by way of proffer.

The evidence and the examinations are limited to the identity and detention determinations. In other words, the hearing is not to be used to obtain discovery or to produce testimony that can be used for subsequent impeachment at trial.

The Court will not consider motions to suppress evidence or objections to evidence allegedly obtained unlawfully at this hearing.

The order of presentation is that the government will proceed first with its evidentiary presentation and should present evidence to both the -- relevant to both the

identity and detention determinations. 1 2 That will be followed by the defense's presentation of 3 evidence. 4 And following the evidentiary presentations, the Court 5 will hear brief argument from both counsel as to the 6 identity and detention determinations and the factors that 7 the Court must consider. 8 Is the government ready to proceed, Mr. Riedl? 9 MR. RIEDL: Yes, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. You may present your 11 first witness. 12 MR. RIEDL: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 government calls Special Agent Jeremy Haynie. 14 JEREMIAH HAYNIE, 15 of lawful age, a witness called by the Government, 16 being first duly placed under oath, was examined 17 and testified as follows: 18 THE COURT: You may proceed. 19 MR. RIEDL: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF JEREMIAH HAYNIE 21 BY MR. RIEDL: 22 Could you please state your name and spell your last 23 name? 24 Jeremiah Haynie, H-A-Y-N-I-E. Α. 25 Q. Thank you.

- 1 Where do you work?
- 2 A. I work for the IRS Criminal Investigation Division.
- 3 Q. What do you do there?
- 4 A. I'm a special agent.
- 5 Q. How long have you been a special agent with the IRS?
- 6 A. 18 years.
- 7 Q. Where did you go to school?
- 8 A. Alma College.
- 9 Q. That was Alma, A-L-M-A?
- 10 A. A-L-M-A, correct.
- 11 Q. Thank you.
- 12 And what was your degree?
- 13 A. I have a business degree and a minor in mathematics.
- 14 Q. Shortly after graduating from college, did you join
- 15 the IRS?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And have you been assigned to multiple roles within
- 18 the IRS?
- 19 A. I have, yes.
- 20 Q. Most recently, what is your current assignment? What
- 21 unit within the IRS are you assigned to?
- 22 A. I'm assigned to the Cyber Crimes Unit out of
- Washington D.C.
- 24 Q. And can you tell us what types of crimes that unit
- 25 focuses on?

- 1 A. Financial crimes with a large Internet component.
- 2 Q. You said the unit is headquartered in D.C. Are you
- 3 personally stationed in D.C.?
- 4 A. No. I sit in Lancing, Michigan.
- 5 Q. Have you investigated crimes involving cryptocurrency?
- 6 A. I have, yes.
- 7 O. Could you briefly describe that?
- 8 A. Conducted a number of investigations, currently
- 9 conducting a number of investigations involving tracing
- 10 bitcoin and other cryptocurrency.
- 11 Q. Were you involved in the Liberty Reserve
- 12 investigation?
- 13 | A. I was.
- 14 | Q. What was your role in that investigation?
- 15 A. I was the lead IRS special agent in that
- 16 investigation.
- 17 Q. Could you describe briefly what that case was?
- 18 A. Liberty Reserve was a virtual currency, similar to
- 19 PayPal, except they did not verify the identities of their
- 20 customers. They did not have any anti money laundering
- 21 controls in place. Therefore, it was used primarily by
- 22 criminals engaged in, largely, investment schemes, selling
- 23 stolen credit card data, and other Internet fraud.
- 24 Q. Have you received training in tracing cryptocurrency?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Can you briefly describe that?
- 2 A. The IRS is provided training from individuals that
- 3 provide blockchain type analysis services and products. I
- 4 received training from those companies.
- 5 Q. Have you also taught or provided training to others
- 6 regarding cryptocurrency?
- 7 A. Yes. I coauthored the IRS training module that's used
- 8 down at our Federal Law Enforcement Training Center in
- 9 Georgia.
- 10 Q. Have you also put on trainings for others?
- 11 A. I have, yes.
- 12 Q. Could you describe that?
- 13 A. I put on approximately 12 trainings for other law
- 14 enforcement agencies, special agents within the IRS,
- individuals in the banking industry as well.
- 16 Q. What is that training about? Can you give us an
- overview of what types of categories of things you teach
- 18 people?
- 19 A. Yes. It's typically a Bitcoin 101 type of training,
- 20 how it works.
- 21 As we kind of advance further into the training,
- depending on how long it is, it could entail tracing
- bitcoin, what to do if you come across a bitcoin address,
- 24 how to figure out if there is any transactions associated
- 25 with it, or a balance, the address or what.

What about recovering physical evidence relating to 1 Q. 2 bitcoin and digital evidence relating to bitcoin? 3 Do you teach that? 4 So as far as the physical evidence, we do -- I do 5 teach what we look for as far as QR codes, which is kind of 6 like a bar code type of thing, the different hardware 7 wallets or devices that are kind of like thumb drives that 8 you can store bitcoin on, what type of apps to look for if you're looking at somebody's phone that might show that 9 10 they're trading in the buying and selling of bitcoin. 11 Are you familiar with the investigation into Larry 12 Harmon? 13 Α. Yes. 14 How are you familiar with that investigation? Ο. 15 So that investigation is being conducted out of my 16 group. Again, it's based in Washington D.C., the Cyber 17 Crimes Unit. 18 I am not the case agent on the investigation. 19 just assisting as needed. THE COURT: I'm sorry. You said you're not the 20 21 case agent, but you're what? 22 THE WITNESS: I'm assisting as needed. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 BY MR. RIEDL:

Specifically, what things have you done in this

- 1 investigation?
- 2 A. I have helped review records, bitcoin transaction type
- 3 records. I've participated in surveillance. And
- 4 specifically for this hearing, I've reviewed documents.
- 5 Q. And have you spoken to the case agent in preparation
- 6 for this hearing?
- 7 A. Yes, I have.
- 8 Q. And did you also assist in surveillance during the
- 9 investigation?
- 10 A. Yes, I did.
- 11 Q. And you said you assisted with the search warrant; is
- 12 | that right?
- 13 A. I'm not sure if I said that, but I did assist with the
- 14 | search warrant last week.
- 15 Q. I would like to turn your attention to the exhibit
- 16 binder that I'm going to hand you.
- 17 MR. RIEDL: May I approach the witness, Your
- 18 Honor?
- 19 THE COURT: I want to make sure that you have
- 20 shared that with opposing counsel.
- MR. FLOOD: He has, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: And have you had adequate time to
- 23 review it?
- MR. FLOOD: I have, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay. Very good.

- 1 You may proceed.
- 2 MR. RIEDL: Thank you.
- 3 BY MR. RIEDL:
- 4 Q. Are you familiar with all of the exhibits contained in
- 5 this binder?
- 6 A. Yes, I am.
- 7 Q. And you had a chance to review them before the
- 8 hearing; is that correct?
- 9 A. Yes, I have.
- 10 Q. If you could turn your attention to Government's
- 11 Exhibit 1, please.
- 12 How does this relate to the investigation into Larry
- 13 Harmon?
- 14 A. So these are -- I quess I'll start with, the top half
- of Exhibit 1 is a screen shot of Grams, which is a search
- 16 engine for searching the Darknet market, or Darknet markets.
- 17 And we've concluded that Larry Harmon was the
- administrator of Grams, the person in charge of the website.
- 19 o. And the bottom half?
- 20 A. The bottom half is a screen shot of Helix which is a
- 21 bitcoin mixer. Bitcoin mixers help -- bitcoin mixers are
- 22 used to obfuscate the source of bitcoin.
- We can probably get into that later.
- 24 Q. We will.
- 25 And is this Helix mixer, is that also related to Larry

1 Harmon?

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Yes, it is.
- 3 Q. And we'll get into those in more detail, as you said.

4 So starting first with Grams and turning to

5 Government's Exhibit 2.

What does Government's Exhibit 2 show us?

A. This is an example of entering "cocaine" into the Grams search bar and the results that are returned as a result of that.

If you look at the different entries there, you can see that these are entries into the dark web marketplace. This is dark web websites where you can purchase cocaine from them.

They also include vendor names in there, so where the cocaine will come from, the price of the cocaine.

So it helps you be able to search for whatever you're looking for very quickly.

Q. Okay. I'm going to zoom out for a second, and we're going to define some of the words you just used in that description.

So starting first with the dark web.

Can you give us a very short or brief overview of what the dark web is?

A. So the dark web is a collection of websites where you need specialized software to access these websites. That

software anonymizes your IP address or things that law enforcement would use to identify you.

And that software also anonymizes the website that you're connecting to so me, as a law enforcement agent, would not be able to figure out where that website is hosted.

- Q. And you said Grams was a search engine. Is that similar to how Google is a search engine for the clear web?
- A. Yes. In fact, it's designed the same way basically with the letters and everything.
 - Q. Okay. And when I perform a search on Grams, what is it searching? What database is it looking at?
 - A. Grams reaches out to the dark web marketplaces and pulls the results directly from the dark web marketplaces.
 - Q. So what is a dark web marketplace?
 - A. A dark web marketplace is kind of like an eBay type of shop where buyers and sellers of, in this case it would be illegal items since it's a dark web marketplace, but buyers and sellers of these illegal items can come together and the sellers can sell illegal things to the buyers.

Typically it's narcotics, illegal narcotics that are listed on there. There is also stolen credit card data, malware, those type of illegal items.

Q. Are things on the dark web automatically illegal just by being on the dark web?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Okay. So when you said these marketplaces tended to
- 3 | have illegal things, what are you basing that on? Is that
- 4 based on your experience with these marketplaces?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Okay. And so would it be common to find items that
- 7 | were legal or allowed to be had, would it be normal to find
- 8 legal items on dark web marketplaces?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. We're going to skip ahead briefly to Government's
- 11 Exhibit 14.
- 12 Do you recognize that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | o. What is that?
- 15 A. These are excerpts from an online forum called Reddit.
- 16 The gramsadmin are things that gramsadmin, which is a user
- 17 name, has posted on Reddit.
- 18 Q. And so Grams is relative to the Grams search engine
- 19 that we just talked about?
- 20 A. Correct. So admins typically -- the term "admin,"
- 21 when used on the dark web or these types of investigations,
- 22 typically means the individual that is controlling the
- 23 website.
- 24 Q. And did the investigation determine who they believe
- 25 is the author of this subforum?

- 1 A. Yes, Larry Harmon.
- Q. Who is it?
- 3 A. Larry Harmon.
- 4 Q. Thank you.
- And then could you highlight there are a couple of items that are already highlighted, but I would like to start in the first paragraph about the third of the way down.
- 9 There is a mention, "I don't want any UC working for 10 me."
- 11 What does "UC" stand for?
- 12 A. Undercover.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- And then the first highlighted portion. Can you tell us what that is and what it means?
- 16 A. It says, "My server is not in the U.S. unlike Reddit's
 17 and has less of a chance of LE taking control without LE
 18 even needing to do a MITM."
- 19 Q. So what does LE stand for in common parlance?
- 20 A. Law enforcement.
- 21 Q. And MITM, is that a phrase that's used on the dark web?
- A. Yeah, it's used outside of the dark web as well, but it means man in the middle. It's an attack where somebody gets in between communication.

- 2 And when there is a discussion of "my server," what role does a server play in a dark web marketplace or dark
- 4 A. So server is what the user actually communicates with.
- 5 So it's what produces the images you see on the website.
- Q. And then skipping to the second highlighted portion in the bottom paragraph, can you tell us what that says and
- 9 A. Says, "Helix uses new addresses for each transaction
 10 so there is no way LE would able to tell which addresses are
 11 Helix addresses."
 - Q. What does that mean?

what that means?

3

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

web site?

- A. That, again, is an obfuscation technique where if the same addresses were used, we would, we being lawsuit, would have a better idea of what's going on.
 - But in this case, since different addresses are used, it's harder to attribute users to specific addresses.
- Q. And we'll talk about that in a little more detail here shortly.
- We're going to skip back now to where we were and move on to Government's Exhibit 3, please.
- 22 What is this, please?
 - A. This is a screen shot showing how Helix worked.
 - Q. And this looks to be of a web browser. Is this a normal web browser?

- A. No, this is a Tor web browser.
- 2 Q. And what is a Tor web browser used for?
- A. A Tor browser is used to access the dark web and to
- 4 access websites that are on Tor.
- 5 Q. Once I've installed -- once a user has installed the
- 6 Tor browser on his or her computer, does it operate
- 7 generally like a normal Internet browser that we're used to?
- 8 A. Yeah, pretty much.
- 9 Q. So all of the things that make the communications
- 10 anonymous, does the user have to be a computer programmer or
- 11 some sort of genius to use that? Or from the user's
- 12 perspective, does it work normally like a normal web browser
- 13 | would work?

- 14 A. It would work normally like a web browser would work.
- 15 Q. So where does all the anonymizing take place if I'm
- 16 browsing the dark web?
- 17 A. That's in the software itself.
- 18 And so what the browser does is it directs the
- 19 Internet traffic to different what are called Tor nodes,
- 20 which there is encryption involved, and it bounces through
- 21 different Tor nodes to anonymize the Internet traffic.
- 22 Q. Thank you.
- 23 So going back to Exhibit 3, you said this was an
- advertisement or a description on the dark web of how Helix
- worked. And can you describe what this -- what this says?

A. Yeah, so the very bottom image is a blow-up of what's at the top here.

So how Helix works, "enter your bitcoin address in the box above," "send your dirty coins to the Helix address," "new clean coins sent to your bitcoin address."

- Q. "Dirty coins," what does that mean?
- A. Coins that had been used for illegal means -- or received for likely selling illegal things.
- Q. And when we say "coins," are we talking about change in my pocket?
- 11 A. No, bitcoin.

- 12 Q. And then "clean coins," "new clean coins," what does
 13 it mean, like when you talk about new coins, what are we
 14 referring to here?
 - A. So the way these mixers work is after receiving bitcoin from a number of users, they tumble and mix those coins together.

And "tumble" just means they send it to additional bitcoin wallets that they control to distance that bitcoin from the original illegal source.

And then what I guess is called the clean coins is what then they are able to pay out to their users after having laundered the bitcoin for them.

Q. And if an individual has used a tumbler like Helix, if they passed their bitcoin through a tumbler like Helix, does

- 1 that make it easier or harder for you as law enforcement to
- 2 identify the true source of that bitcoin?
- 3 A. Harder.
- 4 Q. I would like to turn now to the next exhibit. That is
- 5 Government's Exhibit 4.
- 6 What is that, please?
- 7 A. This is a chart that was created to illustrate how 8 bitcoin passed through Helix.
- 9 Q. So on the far left side we see two sources of bitcoin.
 10 And what were those sources?
- 11 A. On the left side we have known illegal entities 12 sending bitcoin into Helix.
- On the bottom we have unknown entities or customers sending bitcoin into Helix.
 - Q. And when, after the coin got processed -- gets processed into Helix, it's shown going in two different directions on the right there. What are those two directions?
- 19 A. So after it goes through Helix, the bitcoin is sent to
 20 the users and minus a transaction fee which goes to the
 21 administrator of Helix.
- 22 Q. And who was the administrator of Helix?
- 23 A. Larry Harmon.

16

17

18

Q. I would like to skip ahead to Government's Exhibit 10.

Is this essentially a portion of the last chart we

- 1 | just looked at?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So it shows some money going, on the top right, to
- 4 Helix users and then some money going to Larry Harmon; is
- 5 | that correct?
- 6 A. It is, yes.
- 7 Q. How much -- there is a number here, 8,861 bitcoin.
- 8 Where did that number come from? How is that number
- 9 determined?
- 10 A. That came from multiplying two and a half percent
- 11 | times the total number of bitcoin that we've identified that
- went through Helix, which is 354,468.
- 13 Q. Why two and a half percent? How did you come up with
- 14 | the two and a half percent transaction fee?
- 15 A. That was the transaction fee that Helix took from each
- 16 transaction.
- 17 Q. So that was a known or a publicly announced fee?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And did IRS actually process some bitcoin through
- 20 Helix?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And was that transaction fee taken out of those funds?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. The amount here, 8,861 bitcoin, doesn't seem like a
- 25 terribly high figure.

- 1 What is that worth in today's United States dollars?
- 2 A. Approximately \$80 million.
- 3 | O. I'd like to turn back now to Government's Exhibit 5.
- When we looked at Government's Exhibit 4, it showed
- 5 that there was bitcoin coming in from marketplaces and
- 6 bitcoin coming in from unknown customers.
- 7 Where did most of the bitcoin come from?
- 8 A. Most of the bitcoin came from unknown customers.
- 9 Q. And is that illustrated in Government's Exhibit -- is
- 10 that what's illustrated in Government's Exhibit 5?
- 11 A. So most of the known bitcoin that came into Helix came
- 12 from illegal marketplaces.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- And is that what's illustrated in Government's Exhibit
- 15 5?
- 16 A. It is, yes.
- 17 | Q. So what is this? Can you tell us what this is showing
- 18 us?
- 19 A. This shows the amount of bitcoin that we traced from
- 20 illegal marketplaces that were deposited into the Helix
- 21 mixer.
- 22 Q. And so all of those names and logos sitting around
- 23 Helix on the left side of Government's Exhibit 5, what are
- 24 those?
- 25 A. Those are Darknet marketplaces.

- 1 Q. And what types of things did those marketplaces sell?
- 2 A. Mostly illegal narcotics, fraudulently obtained stolen
- 3 credit card information, malware. Those type of things.
- 4 Q. So did any of those sites primarily sell Beanie Babies
- 5 or any other legal items?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. And then the chart on the right, what does that show?
- 8 A. That shows the amount. So, for example, the first
- 9 market, reading from left to right, is AlphaBay Market.
- 10 21,996 bitcoin that we traced from AlphaBay directly to
- 11 Helix. And that had an equivalent at the time, or a U.S.
- dollar value at the time of the transaction of over \$13
- 13 million.
- 14 Q. Turning now to Government's Exhibit 6.
- Did Helix have a special relationship with the Darknet
- 16 market AlphaBay?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So can you tell us briefly what AlphaBay was?
- 19 A. AlphaBay, as we've discussed, is a Darknet market, and
- 20 it sold primarily illegal narcotics.
- 21 Q. What was the special relationship that Helix had with
- 22 AlphaBay?
- 23 A. Helix had access to the listings on AlphaBay.
- So -- or I'm sorry. I'm thinking of Grams.
- 25 Helix -- AlphaBay suggested or recommended to its

- 1 customers that they run their bitcoin through Helix.
- 2 Q. And so where did Government's Exhibit 6, where was
- 3 that taken from?
- 4 A. This is a screen shot from the AlphaBay website.
- 5 Q. And the last paragraph talks about Grams Helix.
- And what does it say about Grams Helix?
- A. It says, "Grams Helix is a tumbler and Darknet content indexer. They are the Google of the Darknet and have a
- 9 tumbling service."
- 10 Q. So when it says "they are the Google of the Darknet,"
- 11 you started to talk about the fact that Grams had the
- ability to search AlphaBay. Is that what they're describing
- there, the Google of the Darknet?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And then "and have a tumbling service." Which service are they referring to there?
- 17 A. Helix.
- 18 Q. And I would like to talk primarily, though, about the 19 first paragraph of text that was on the AlphaBay Market.
- 20 What does that say?
- 21 A. It says, "Tumbling your coins is a popular way to
- 22 erase any trace of your coins coming from AlphaBay." It
- 23 says, "In some cases, using the blockchain, a determined
- 24 investigator can trace you back to AlphaBay. Tumbling your
- coins for a small fee, normally one to three percent,

- 1 ensures that you will never be traced back to here. We
- 2 recommend two tumblers who have proven themselves as
- 3 reliable."

- 4 Q. Thank you.
- 5 I would like to turn now to the next exhibit. That is
- 7 What is that?
- 8 A. This is a document that we received pursuant to a
- 9 search warrant to Google for the gmail account
- 10 doolbman1@gmail.com.
- 11 0. Whose account was that?

Government's Exhibit 7.

- 12 A. Larry Harmon.
- 13 Q. And is that actually also the name on the account?
- 14 A. Yes, it is.
- 15 Q. Are there other identifiers on the account that tell
- 16 you that that was in fact Larry Harmon's e-mail account?
- 17 A. Yes. Highlighted a little more than halfway down the
- page is the recovery e-mail address, larry@hwisite.com.
- 19 Q. What is a recovery e-mail account?
- 20 A. It's used in case you lose access to your primary
- 21 e-mail account, Google can send you a password reset. They
- 22 can also use it to talk to you outside of your primary
- e-mail if you lose access to it.
- 24 Q. And this larry@hwisite.com, whose e-mail account is
- 25 that?

- 1 A. Larry Harmon.
- 2 Q. How do you know that?
- 3 A. We've seen it on many different documents, but, for
- 4 example, one place that we saw it was on a -- it's the Apple
- 5 I.D. for the telephone that we found next to Mr. Harmon when
- 6 | we did the search warrant.
- Also the H-W-I part of the hwisite at the end of the domain there stands for Harmon Web Innovations.
- 9 And hwisite.com is under construction but lists Larry
 10 Harmon as the owner.
- 11 Q. What is Harmon Web Innovation?
- 12 A. It's a business operated by Larry Harmon.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- And there is a phone number that's also highlighted.
- Were you able to associate that phone number with Larry
- 16 Harmon?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 | O. How?
- 19 A. We found this phone number -- this phone number was
- 20 used to register a PayPal account in the name of Larry
- 21 Harmon.
- 22 Q. Under "Services," jumping back up higher in that
- 23 section, it lists Services.
- Generally when it says "services," what are we
- 25 referring to?

- 1 A. So these are services that Google provides to its
 2 customers. And this tells us which services the individual
- 3 listed here is registered to be using.
- 4 Q. And one of the services listed on the second line is
- 5 Glass. Are you familiar with what that is?
- 6 A. Yes. Google Glass are glasses that Google created,
- 7 developed. They have a camera facing out, and they have a
- 8 display on the inside that the user can see, kind of operate
- 9 like a smartphone.
- 10 Q. I'm going to ask you to skip ahead now to Government's
- 11 Exhibit 12, please.
- 12 What is this, please?
- 13 A. This is an e-mail that we recovered as a result of a
- search warrant to Google. It's from Larry Harmon, and the
- 15 e-mail address is larry@hwisite.com.
- 16 Q. That's the same e-mail account we saw as the recovery
- account on Government's Exhibit 7; is that right?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Thank you.
- Go ahead.
- 21 A. Its subject says "driver's license," and it looks to
- 22 be sent to a car dealership.
- 23 And the body of the e-mail says, "Hey, you called
- about needing a copy of my driver's license for the juke we
- paid in cash. It is attached, and my social is," which has

- 1 been redacted in this copy.
- 2 Q. So there are several items in this copy that have been
- 3 redacted; is that right?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Have you reviewed the original unredacted version of
- 6 this e-mail?
- 7 A. Yes, I have.
- 8 Q. And in the original version, those portions that are
- 9 redacted, are those Larry Harmon's personal identifying
- 10 | information?
- 11 A. Yes, they are.
- 12 Q. And the Larry Harmon that we've been discussing so far
- 13 throughout this case, do you see him in the courtroom here
- 14 today?
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
- 16 Q. Could you please point him out and describe what he's
- 17 | wearing?
- 18 A. He's sitting at the defendant's table wearing an
- 19 orange jumpsuit.
- MR. RIEDL: Thank you.
- Your Honor, I would ask that the record reflect that
- 22 the witness has identified the defendant.
- 23 THE COURT: The record will reflect that the
- 24 witness has identified the defendant seated at the table in
- 25 the front of the courtroom.

- 1 MR. RIEDL: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 2 BY MR. RIEDL:
- 3 | O. I would like to turn now to Government's Exhibit 8.
- 4 What is that?
- 5 A. This is a photo that we recovered from the search
- 6 warrant to Google.
- 7 Q. And when you say "recovered from the search warrant to
- 8 Google," whose Google account was that search warrant to?
- 9 A. Larry Harmon.
- 10 Q. And was this photo saved in that Google account?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. What is this a picture of?
- 13 A. The background shows the condo that Larry Harmon has,
- 14 | a vacation type condo, located in Belize. We know this
- because we've been to that condo. We've also seen public
- 16 pictures of condos of similar nature. And we also know this
- 17 because this photo itself has metadata within it, which is
- 18 just data that accompanies a photo, showing the latitude and
- 19 | longitude where this photo was taken which correlates to San
- 20 Pedro, Belize.
- 21 Q. Was the IRS able to determine whether Larry Harmon was
- actually in Belize when this photo was taken?
- 23 A. Yes. There was a social -- well, this photo was taken
- October 23, 2014. There was a social media post dated
- October 5, 2014 that showed that Larry Harmon was in Belize.

- 1 Q. Thank you.
- 2 I would like to turn now to Government's Exhibit 9.
- What is that, please?
- 4 A. So this is just a blow-up or a zooming-in of the
- 5 computer screen that's pictured in the Government's Exhibit
- 6 Number 8.
- 7 Q. So it's the same as Government's Exhibit 8, just blown
- 8 up on the computer screen?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And what does this show?
- 11 A. Starting from the annotation on the left, "GramsAdmin
- Page," you can show that -- you can see that that tab has
- 13 "Admin" on it and "Testing."
- 14 And then you can also see that it's highlighted,
- meaning it's a brighter color than the other tabs around it.
- So if you kind of follow that tab down and to the
- 17 | left, you can see "Grams," the same logo that we saw in
- 18 | Exhibit Number 1, on that page.
- 19 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm trying to see where
- 20 you're looking. You're looking next to the black bar, it
- 21 | says "Grams"?
- 22 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- 23 BY MR. RIEDL:
- 24 Q. So is it in the bottom left of the photograph that you
- 25 | can read "Grams"?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. And how do you know that that is the tab that's
- 3 selected and is related to "Admin Testing"?
- 4 A. It's highlighted. The tab is highlighted. So it's a
- 5 brighter color than the tabs behind it.
- 6 So as you click on these other tabs, they'll highlight
- 7 and that one will darken.
- 8 MR. RIEDL: Does that make sense, Your Honor?
- 9 THE COURT: Yes.
- 10 MR. RIEDL: Okay.
- 11 BY MR. RIEDL:
- 12 Q. If we could move on to the next annotation.
- 13 What is that?
- 14 A. Yes. The next one to the right is labeled "Helix
- 15 Update Tab." And reading the tab, there is some
- 16 | random -- well, it looks random letters to me, and there is
- 17 | a slash, and then it says "Helix Update," which ends that
- 18 tab.
- 19 | 0. And the next annotation?
- 20 THE COURT: You know, I don't know that I know
- 21 where you were just looking.
- I have the "Admin Testing." Where are you looking
- 23 now?
- 24 THE WITNESS: So I've moved two tabs to the right
- 25 under our next yellow arrow.

- 1 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- 2 BY MR. RIEDL:
- 3 Q. And then the third annotation, "TorAds Admin Tab."
- 4 Can you describe that?
- 5 A. So TorAds was a banner advertising service that was
- 6 provided by Grams. So this is another link to Grams and a
- 7 link to Larry Harmon.
- 8 Q. And then we've already covered Government's Exhibit
- 9 10. So we'll skip then to Government's Exhibit 11, please.
- 10 A. Government's Exhibit 11 was obtained, again, through
- 11 the search warrants at Google. This was part of documents
- 12 that were stored in Larry Harmon's Google Drive account.
- This shows cash in cryptocurrencies, and at the
- bottom, the total gives a total of 56,939,610 in bitcoin and
- 15 U.S. dollars.
- 16 Q. So to be clear, did the IRS create this chart?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 | Q. Who created -- well, where was it found?
- 19 A. This was found in Larry Harmon's Google Drive.
- 20 Q. And it lists a total of almost \$57,000,000 equivalent.
- 21 But then to the right of that, what are those columns to the
- 22 right of the United States dollar column?
- 23 A. Bitcoin.
- 24 Q. So you're looking at the very top line of the third
- 25 | column; is that right?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. It says "BTC." Why do you say that that's bitcoin?
- 3 A. That's the abbreviation for bitcoin.
- 4 Q. So just like United States dollars is commonly
- 5 abbreviated as "USD," do cryptocurrencies also have
- 6 three-letter abbreviations?
- 7 A. Yes, they do.
- 8 Q. Okay. And so the next one, "ETH," what is that?
- 9 A. That stands for ethereum.
- 10 Q. Is that is also a cryptocurrency?
- 11 A. Yes, it is.
- 12 Q. And "BCH"?
- 13 A. Bitcoin cash.
- 14 | o. And "BTG"?
- 15 A. Bitcoin gold.
- 16 Q. And then on the first column on the far left, it says
- 17 "Location."
- Can you tell us what you believe this means based on
- 19 the investigation done to date?
- 20 A. So this -- I believe this means where this either
- 21 bitcoin or cash is being stored.
- 22 Q. And it lists a number of computers. And then lower
- 23 down it says T-R-E-Z-O-R, Trezor.
- 24 What is a Trezor? Are you familiar with what a Trezor
- 25 is?

1 A. Yes.

- 2 Q. What is it?
- A. It's what we call -- or what's called a hardware wallet. It is used to store bitcoin offline. It helps to mitigate losing your bitcoin.

So if you stored bitcoin on a computer that was attached to the Internet, there is a possibility that computer could get hacked and your bitcoin could be stolen.

If you store it on a Trezor, which is like a hard drive, like a thumb drive type looking device, you can store that off the Internet, offline, and you're not -- bad guys are not able to hack into it and steal your bitcoin.

Q. So if I store a lot of bitcoin -- so here one of these Trezors claims to have \$31 million worth of bitcoin.

If I store that much money or bitcoin on a Trezor and then my house burns down or someone steals the Trezor, have I lost that money forever?

- A. Potentially, but there are backups to Trezors.
- Q. Could you describe how that works?
- A. So it works, when you set up your Trezor, the software gives what you are called seed keys. And normally with Trezors those are 24 words that are used in the event that you lose your Trezor.

So you are instructed to write those down, write those seed keys down in order, and you're even quizzed on it. At

- the end they will tell you, tell me what seed key number 11 was. And you have to tell it what it was in order for it to
 - So you write those down, and you store those somewhere away from your Trezor in case it's stolen or the house burns down.
 - Q. Would it also be possible to memorize those seed keys?
- 8 A. Yes.

work.

3

4

5

6

7

14

15

- 9 Q. And if I have memorized my seed keys and someone
 10 steals my Trezor or my house burns down, what would I do to
 11 get my money back?
- 12 A. You just get another Trezor, load the seed keys into 13 that Trezor, and now you have access to your bitcoin.
 - Q. And you said it also -- the software instructs you to write that down. Is there a limit to how many copies you can make of that?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Can you store that anywhere on the Internet, those words?
- 20 A. You can, yes.
- 21 Q. Is there anything special about those words, or are 22 they just random words that the software picks for you?
- 23 A. They're random words. They're taken from a set of known words, though.
- 25 Q. When was this document last edited? Does Google Drive

- 1 tell you when the document was last edited?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.
- 3 0. When was this exact document last edited?
- 4 A. October 18, 2018.
- 5 Q. Thank you.
- 6 So we've already reviewed Government's Exhibit 12, 13,
- 7 and 14.
- 8 I'm sorry. We have not reviewed 13, but we'll come
- 9 back to that.
- 10 I would like to skip to 15, please.
- Do you recognize Government's Exhibit 15?
- 12 A. Yes, I do.
- 13 | Q. What is that?
- 14 A. So this is a Trezor that I found during the search
- warrant that was taped underneath the desk on the second
- 16 floor, or on the -- in the HWI business suite.
- 17 Q. And we'll talk about that search warrant in more
- 18 detail.
- 19 THE COURT: I'm sorry. You just said this was
- 20 taped under the desk where?
- 21 THE WITNESS: In HWI.
- 22 BY MR. RIEDL:
- 23 Q. Which stands for?
- 24 A. Harmon Web Innovations.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay.

- 1 BY MR. RIEDL:
- 2 Q. So during the search of the Harmon Web Innovations
- 3 place of business, did you participate in that search?
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 Q. And was this one of the items that you located?
- 6 A. Yes, it is.
- 7 Q. And you said this is a Trezor like you just described,
- 8 capable of containing cryptocurrency?
- 9 A. Yes, it is.
- 10 Q. And it was specifically found in what state, or where
- 11 | was it recovered?
- 12 A. It was underneath a desk, taped or attached to the
- 13 underside of a desk using double-sided tape.
- 14 Q. And is that why the picture is kind of crummy?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And we'll come back to that in a little bit.
- I would like to skip back now to Government's Exhibit
- 18 | 13.
- 19 What is that, please?
- 20 A. This is a photo of a phone that we recovered right
- 21 next to Larry Harmon when we executed the search warrant.
- 22 Q. Were you able to determine whose phone it was?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Whose?
- 25 A. Larry Harmon.

- 1 Q. How do you say that? Why do you say that?
- 2 A. Number one, it was right next to him when we did the
- 3 search warrant.
- We've also done forensic analysis of the phone, and
- 5 | we've found out the Apple I.D. of the phone is
- 6 larry@hwisite.com, e-mail address that we discussed earlier.
- 7 Q. Thank you.
- 8 And the screen that's live on the phone right here,
- 9 | what is this?
- 10 A. This is an app where you can enter in your
- 11 cryptocurrency, the volume that you have, and it will update
- 12 | with the different price changes of cryptocurrency.
- 13 Q. So it will update based on the current value of the
- 14 cryptocurrency?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And this is done in realtime, or near realtime, as you
- 17 run the app; is that correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And in the top left, or near the top left of that
- 20 screen, it lists a value.
- 21 What is the value listed there?
- 22 A. \$47,740,800.
- 23 Q. And is that in United States dollars?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. We briefly touched on the fact that there were search

- 1 warrants executed in this case.
- 2 Are you familiar with the search warrants that were
- 3 executed?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You mentioned you participated in one at a business,
- 6 but I would like to first talk about a search warrant in
- 7 Belize.
- 8 Are you aware that there was a search warrant executed
- 9 in Belize?
- 10 A. Yes, I am.
- 11 Q. And was that search warrant conducted on the same
- address that was pictured in the Google Glass photograph
- 13 | that we just viewed?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | O. And that was in Government's Exhibit 8.
- 16 What was recovered of evidentiary value from that
- 17 | search warrant?
- 18 A. There were hard drives that were recovered from there.
- 19 And there were two Trezors.
- 20 Q. So that's in addition to the one that you found in the
- 21 business, there were two different Trezors found in Belize;
- 22 is that right?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. Whose condo was that in Belize?
- 25 A. That was Larry Harmon's.

- 1 Q. How long has he owned or had -- "owned" isn't the
- 2 right word, but how long has he leased that condo?
- 3 A. Since October 2014.
- 4 Q. And what is the monthly lease payment on that condo?
- 5 A. \$2,000.
- 6 Q. How did he pay that? In what format did he pay?
- 7 A. In cash.
- 8 Q. Every month?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Was there also a search warrant executed at the
- 11 defendant's residence in Bath, Ohio?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And what was found in that residence?
- 14 A. We found seed keys in a safe, and we found
- 15 electronics.
- 16 Q. So when you say you found seed keys, what does that
- 17 look like?
- 18 A. Those are the written words that are used to generate
- 19 your Trezor or your other hardware wallet.
- 20 Q. So we've talked about Trezors as if they're the only
- 21 hardware wallet out there. But there are actually a wide
- variety of these cryptocurrency hardware wallets around?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. So just finding a list of seed keys, does that
- 25 automatically tell you which hardware wallet that goes to?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Are there also software wallets that don't rely on any
- 3 physical hardware?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And do those sometimes rely on seed keys?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And you said -- was there also at that residence
- 8 recovered a Cobo vault?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What is that?
- 11 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Could you repeat that
- 12 word?
- 13 MR. RIEDL: A Cobo vault, C-O-B-O vault.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay.
- 15 THE WITNESS: That's a hardware wallet similar to
- 16 a Trezor, but it advertises having military grade
- 17 | encryption, meaning more secure than a typical hardware
- 18 | wallet.
- 19 BY MR. RIEDL:
- 20 Q. We've talked already about the fact there was a search
- 21 done at a business that you participated in; is that right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And was the search -- were there two different search
- 24 warrants, one for the second floor and one for the third
- 25 floor?

- 1 A. Yes, there were.
- 2 Q. Which floor did you assist in searching?
- 3 A. The second floor.
- 4 Q. Thank you.
- And what was, generally, what was the second floor of this building? What was its business?
- 7 A. The label on the door was HWI, Harmon Web Innovations.
- Inside of the business itself was kind of part business, part living quarters.
- The business part of it, there was a desk with a couple monitors on it. And then the living quarter side of
- 12 it, there was a large couch, projector TV, kitchen area.
- And then towards the back there were other rooms that

 were -- looked to be used for storage.
- 15 Q. Was there any evidence that HWI had employees?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Has the IRS conducted an investigation into the
- 18 | financial means of HWI --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- where its money came from?
- 21 Can you tell us what you found?
- 22 A. We found that 95 percent of the deposits into HWI came
- 23 from bitcoin that had been converted either to cash or to
- 24 U.S. dollars and then wired into the account.
- 25 Q. When IRS agents first made entry into that second

- 1 floor, was the defendant there?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Where was he?
- 4 A. He was on the couch.
- 5 Q. Okay. And what items were near him on the couch of
- 6 relevance to this case?
- 7 A. The cell phone.
- 8 Q. And the couch was adjacent to, I think you already
- 9 said, a desk; is that right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | 0. What was found on that desk?
- 12 A. On the desk was a laptop. And then underneath the
- desk is where I found the Trezor.
- 14 Q. Did you also find documents relating to the
- 15 defendant's identity?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What was found?
- That was a bad question.
- 19 Did you find the defendant's wallet?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Where was that found?
- 22 A. That was next to the desk, kind of on a window sill.
- 23 Q. And did you look inside the wallet?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. What was in there?

- 1 A. There were credit cards, there was cash, and there was
- 2 | a driver's license.
- 3 0. Whose name was the driver's license in?
- 4 A. Larry Harmon.
- 5 Q. And was it in fact Larry Harmon's driver's license?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. The other items in the wallet, the credit cards, were
- 8 those also in Larry Harmon's name?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. The phone that we've already talked about and we've
- seen in Government's Exhibit 13, has a search been started
- 12 of that phone?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And were items of interest relating to this
- 15 investigation found on that phone?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Can you describe that?
- 18 A. So we found an invoice dated April 2018 that showed a
- private plane that was used to fly from Cleveland to
- Jamaica. And that was four passengers, including Larry
- 21 Harmon and his wife.
- 22 Q. Were there also items relating to Helix on the phone?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Can you describe that?
- 25 A. We found bitcoin addresses that were traced -- or had

- received bitcoin that was traced back to known Helix addresses.
 - Q. Were there SMS messages on the phone relating to Helix?
 - A. Yeah -- well, we believe they're related to Helix.

What we found were text messages that were received that said "RPC_dirty." And there was a value there, for example, 34.1784632, but just -- that's not what it actually said, but it was a long -- I'm getting at, it was a long string of numbers which would be similar to how bitcoin is handled.

And then there was an "RPC_" I believe it said "clean." And then there was another number after that.

- Q. And so that language of "dirty" and "clean," is that the same language we saw back in the exhibit talking about how Helix works in Government's Exhibit 3?
- A. Yes.

- Q. During the investigation -- you already mentioned the private flight to Jamaica -- did the IRS uncover evidence that the defendant took other private flights?
- A. Yes. In December 2018, the FBI did a surveillance on Larry Harmon and followed him to Cleveland --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, that was December what?

THE WITNESS: 2018.

Followed him and watched him board a charter flight.

- 1 BY MR. RIEDL:
- 2 Q. Did the defendant travel to -- in addition to the
- 3 private flights we've discussed, did the investigation
- 4 reveal that the defendant traveled to Belize frequently?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. We talked about the fact that there was also a search
- 7 warrant signed for the third floor of that same building.
- 8 You searched the second floor. There was also a search
- 9 warrant on the third floor.
- Were there items relevant to this investigation
- 11 recovered on the third floor?
- 12 A. Yes. There was a safe on the third floor that
- contained seed keys. There were electronics also on the
- 14 third floor that were seized.
- 15 Q. And when we've talked about electronics being seized,
- 16 is the investigation or search of those electronics complete
- 17 as we sit here today?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Is that effort ongoing?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. What was the third floor of that building? What was
- 22 there?
- 23 A. Coin Ninja, which was a business operated by Larry
- 24 Harmon.
- 25 Q. And what did it do?

- 1 A. It did -- I would say similar to an app called Venmo,
 2 but I would have to explain that, too.
- But it basically does -- it has an app called DropBit,

 and if you download the app on your phone, you can receive
- 5 bitcoin from other DropBit users just via text or e-mail.
- 6 Q. So it allowed people to send and receive bitcoin?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And in a business operating like that, is a business
- 9 like that required to register itself as a money remitting
- 10 business?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And was Coin Ninja registered as a money remitter?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. To the best of your knowledge in this investigation,
- was Coin Ninja following Know Your Customer procedures to
- 16 register users?
- 17 A. I'm not sure.
- 18 Q. Was there also a search warrant conducted of a
- 19 residence in California?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. When was that conducted?
- 22 A. These days are blending together. It was yesterday.
- 23 Q. And whose name was that lease in at the residence in
- 24 California?
- 25 A. Larry Harmon.

And how much does he pay per month for that lease? 1 Q. 2 \$3,500. Α. 3 Was there a Ledger wallet that was recovered in that 4 residence? 5 Yes. Α. 6 What is a Ledger wallet? Q. 7 Ledger is another hardware wallet very similar to 8 Trezor. 9 How did agents become aware that there was a residence in California? 10 11 We found documents during the search warrants on 12 Thursday. 13 So is it fair to say that the investigation into Q. 14 locations and additional wallets is ongoing? 15 Α. Yes. 16 MR. RIEDL: Could I have one moment, Your Honor? 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 I have no further questions for the MR. RIEDL: 19 witness at this time. Thank you. 20 THE COURT: All right. Your witness. 21 MR. FLOOD: Your Honor, do you mind if we take a 22 90-second break before I start my questions? 23 THE COURT: Okay. It's already five minutes 24 after 4:00. So you're taking a short break?

MR. FLOOD: Just one minute, Your Honor.

```
THE COURT: All right.
 1
 2
              (Pause.)
 3
                  MR. FLOOD: Thank you.
 4
             Do you want questioning from the podium?
 5
                  THE COURT: I would prefer that.
 6
                  MR. FLOOD: Okay. Thank you.
 7
                   CROSS-EXAMINATION OF JEREMIAH HAYNIE
 8
       BY MR. FLOOD:
 9
             Good afternoon, Agent.
       Q.
10
       Α.
             Good afternoon.
11
             I want to back up a little bit and go kind of big
12
       picture on bitcoin.
13
             What is a bitcoin?
14
             It's an electronic transfer of value.
15
             But just in its basic sense, it's a string of numbers
16
       and letters, right?
17
       Α.
             A bitcoin address is, yes.
18
             A bitcoin address is.
19
             And a bitcoin address is what one uses to transact
20
       bitcoin? It is the address itself, right?
             It's like an account number.
21
       Α.
2.2
       Q.
             It's like an account number.
23
             And bitcoin, contrary to popular belief, is traceable,
24
       right, because it has the addresses built into it, correct?
25
             We call it pseudoanonymous.
```

- 1 0. Right, because cash is anonymous. I mean, cash, if I
- 2 | had a \$20 bill, it has a number on it that the Treasury put
- 3 on there, but no one knows where it's been, right?
- 4 A. Yeah. So if you continue with that analogy, if you
- 5 send me bitcoin, I know it came from you. I know your
- 6 bitcoin address. If you give me cash, I know that cash came
- 7 from you. So that's why we call it pseudoanonymous.
- 8 Q. Right, and so bitcoin is a little bit -- if cash had,
- 9 every time it changed hands it gave the identifier of the
- last person who had it, right? So when you had the \$20
- 11 bill, it lists the 50 people who've ever had it. Does that
- 12 make sense?
- 13 A. You're talking about the actual, like, identifying
- 14 information for these people?
- 15 Q. Yeah -- well, no. The address of where it came from
- 16 and who it went to?
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. That's what a bitcoin has?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And so this mixer or tumbler takes off or it
- 21 adds -- doesn't take off anything, correct? The tumbler or
- 22 mixer doesn't take any digits from the bitcoin?
- 23 A. Oh, no. You can't change the bitcoin address.
- 24 Q. You can't change the address. So what this mixer does
- is it adds so much information you can't tell where it came

- 1 from recently, correct?
- 2 A. I'm not sure what you mean by adding information.
- 3 Q. You can't tell the address the bitcoin came from
- 4 because it has been mixed in with other bitcoins?
- 5 A. Yes, but it also, in doing that -- so the steps to
- 6 mixing your bitcoin -- or from a user standpoint, using a
- 7 mixer as a user, what I do is I send my bitcoin to the
- 8 mixer.
- 9 And then -- so I send my bitcoin to the user -- or to
- 10 | the mixer, and it -- before I even do that, I log into the
- mixer or I access the mixer, and it gives me a bitcoin
- 12 address to send my bitcoin to.
- I send my bitcoin to that address.
- 14 | Q. And we don't have to be so -- looking at Government's
- 15 Exhibit 3, say this is what you were using, right? You're
- 16 using this Helix program, and it's
- 17 | changing -- re-anonymizing the bitcoin, right? Does that
- 18 make sense?
- 19 A. It's laundering the bitcoin.
- 20 Q. Well, it wasn't dirty. It's just changing where it
- 21 | came from, right?
- 22 A. Okay. So if we're -- in your example the bitcoin is
- 23 | coming from?
- 24 Q. Me. Let's say I send you a bitcoin. When you get it,
- 25 | it would have my address on it. Right?

- 1 A. Okay. Yes.
- 2 Q. If I didn't want you to know my address, I would send
- 3 | it to a mixer who would send it to you, right?
- 4 A. That would work, yes.
- 5 Q. And it would no longer come from me? I mean, it would
- 6 no longer say that it came from me on the bitcoin?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. Is that legal?
- 9 A. The bitcoin that you're sending is not from an illegal
- 10 | source?
- 11 Q. Right.
- 12 A. And we're not transacting anything illegally?
- 13 Q. Right.
- 14 A. That's legal, yes.
- 15 Q. And so there is nothing illegal about mixing bitcoin?
- 16 A. As long as the mixer is not transacting anything dirty
- and also registered with FinCEN and the state that it's
- 18 dealing with.
- 19 Q. So if registered --
- THE COURT: Sorry. You said a couple things
- 21 there. As long as what?
- 22 THE WITNESS: As long as the mixer -- as long as
- 23 the bitcoin that's being transacted is not dirty, it doesn't
- come from an illegal source, and that mixer is required,
- being a money transmitter, to register as a money service

- 1 business with FinCEN and with the states of both me and you,
- 2 wherever we are residing or transacting from.
- 3 BY MR. FLOOD:
- 4 Q. How long has that been the law on these
- 5 cryptocurrencies because I know it's changing all the time?
- 6 A. I believe FinCEN came out with that guidance in
- 7 definitely 2015, but maybe as far back as 2013.
- 8 Q. And just for the record, a lot of this stuff we're
- 9 talking about is somewhat historical. This Helix thing shut
- 10 down in 2017; is that right?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And so when we talk about that, we're talking about an
- older -- or a relatively older investigation into Helix,
- 14 | correct?
- 15 A. Relatively, sure.
- 16 Q. And going back to Exhibit 3, this is the way it works.
- 17 So you put in the address -- in the box that says "Let's
- 18 Go," this is a bitcoin address, I'm assuming?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And the user types in this, types "Let's Go," and then
- 21 does it tell Helix where the money goes to after that?
- 22 A. In this case here, it is telling Helix, I want to send
- 23 my bitcoin to 1Hsn76.
- Q. Oh, I see. Okay. So that's the -- that's not the
- 25 "from." That's the "to" box?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Where is the "from" box?
- 3 A. So the from would be just from me. But what happens
- 4 after you hit "Let's Go" is another address appears. This
- 5 one from Helix. And that's where you send your bitcoin.
- 6 You send it to that address. Helix now has control
- 7 over that bitcoin. And you've already given it a place to
- 8 send it which is the address you put into the "Let's Go"
- 9 box.
- 10 Q. So it's basically just an exchange of bitcoin for a
- 11 | fee, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And so all you're doing is saying, okay, I don't want
- 14 this bitcoin associated with my address, so give me one that
- doesn't have my address on it and I'll pay you two and a
- 16 half percent to do so?
- 17 A. Yeah. As it says, it says "send your dirty coins to
- 18 | the Helix address," and then clean coins come to your
- 19 | bitcoin address.
- 20 Q. All right. And turning to Government Exhibit 4, so
- 21 this bad guy looking criminal on the top and left here,
- 22 there is approximately -- these are the marketplaces, right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And these marketplaces would, I guess, I think later
- 25 in the exhibits you all identified I think approximately

1 40,000 -- okay. That's Exhibit 5.

There is -- I roughly added up those bitcoin deposits, you know, approximately 40,000 bitcoin deposits from these marketplaces, right?

A. Correct.

Q. When this is used, does it look like it does on number -- on Exhibit 3 where it's just random addresses or random letters and numbers typed into this page?

I mean, there is no names or anything, right?

- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. You don't see "AlphaBay Market" on anything?
- 12 A. That's correct.
 - Q. So when we look at Government's Exhibit 4, we've got approximately 40,000 bitcoin that you all have traced back to these marketplaces. But there wouldn't be anything within the Helix system that would know that these came from these marketplaces, right?
 - A. Well, part of registering with FinCEN is having Know Your Customer controls in place and, too, money laundering controls, that type of thing.

And so that, doing that correctly would give you access to the same tools that we use to figure this out.

So yes, you would be able to see where the bitcoin was coming from.

Q. Yeah, but you answered a different question.

If they had registered and gone through all this, they would be able to see that this came from the marketplace, right?

- A. Well, if they used -- yes. Right.
- Q. But as it stood in 20-what? How long was this, '14 through '17 or something?

As it stood then, they did not see the people who had sent the money into the Helix system, right? They couldn't see who that is?

- A. Well, I'm saying they could have.
- 11 Q. But they didn't?
- 12 A. Okay.

- Q. I'm saying, the only way they would know how to do that was if they had gone through the registration process and done all these things?
 - A. Well, not necessarily. That's one of the things that will come with that is the fact that you have to have these controls in place.

But you could know that without even having to go through those --

- Q. How would --
 - A. Well, number one, the whole point of the mixer is to defeat the bitcoin analytics tools that are out there.

And so if Helix was truly intent on keeping money laundering out of its purview, then it would use those same

- analytics tools to figure out who their customers are and
 where their bitcoin is coming from.
 - Q. But as it stood with just you entering -- like on Government Exhibit 3, you're just entering numbers. As it stood, these are unknown people, right?

I mean, it even says you guys have identified 40,000 of them as these criminal guys, but you have 290,000 bitcoins from unknown people, right?

A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And so what is that? 12 -- 40 percent -- what

 percentage is that? That's -- you've got 10, 15 percent

 coming from who you believe are going to these markets,

 right?
- 14 A. Approximately.
- 15 Q. Yeah. I mean, you're not held to the math.
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. Although you're probably way, way better at math than 18 I am.

So you all can't identify these unknown customers.

And the only reason you all can identify these marketplaces is because you have subsequently identified these marketplaces, right? And you see -- how do you all know that these transactions are going into Helix from that marketplace?

A. A lot of that is from these bitcoin analytics tools

who use heuristics and their own type of, I guess, proprietary analysis to label as much as they can of the bitcoin addresses that are out there and who they belong to.

So in this case, they're finding Darknet markets and finding their bitcoin addresses, and when we run their software, we can see what that address is.

Q. And I want to talk about the Darknet markets real quick because this Grams thing, Exhibit 1, which I'll give you is probably a blatant Google trademarking problem, but that's not what we're here for.

You all have typed "cocaine" into the search bar. You can type "neck ties," right?

A. You could, yes.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Which exhibit are you on?

MR. FLOOD: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I'm jumping

around a little bit. I was back to the search which is

Exhibit 1.

THE COURT: I think it's Exhibit 2.

MR. FLOOD: I'm sorry. That's the search results. The search box is 1. The search results where they have entered "cocaine" and we can see that there are people selling cocaine.

BY MR. FLOOD:

Q. But my point, Agent, is although you have identified these markets, you haven't identified any of the products,

- 1 right?
- 2 A. Well, I put it on these markets, yes.
- 3 Q. No, I mean specific to these transactions that you
- 4 have in the flow chart on Exhibit 4, while you all have
- 5 identified some marketplaces, have you identified specific
- 6 transactions and know what the product was?
- 7 A. I know that we've used undercover transactions to send
- 8 bitcoin through, but I am not sure if those transactions
- 9 came from -- they definitely came from AlphaBay, for
- 10 example, but I'm not sure if the bitcoin that funded that
- 11 undercover account was, like, as a result of an illegal
- 12 transaction.
- 13 Q. And when AlphaBay got shut down, I guess you all shut
- 14 down AlphaBay in 2017; is that right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Not you all, but the United States government?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. And subsequently Helix was shut down, correct?
- 19 A. Helix shut itself down, yeah.
- 20 Q. Helix shut itself down.
- 21 So turning to Exhibit 5, all these marketplaces, you
- 22 all have researched these and have learned that they
- 23 transact in illegal goods, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. But you have not tied these specific transactions to

- 1 illegal goods?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 THE COURT: Mr. Flood, I want to make sure we
- 4 know what we're doing here. This is not a preliminary
- 5 hearing to determine whether probable cause exists for the
- 6 charges made against the defendant. That has already been
- 7 determined by the grand jury in the District of Columbia.
- 8 MR. FLOOD: I understand, Your Honor. I'm just
- 9 going into the weight of the evidence against Mr. Harmon
- 10 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 3142.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay.
- 12 MR. FLOOD: As one of the things this Court can
- 13 | consider, Your Honor.
- 14 BY MR. FLOOD:
- 15 Q. And just as a general matter, Agent, there is nothing
- 16 | illegal about wanting anonymity or privacy, correct? It's
- one of our fundamental rights as Americans.
- 18 A. There is nothing illegal about that, correct.
- 19 Q. And so the notion of taking your address off of your
- 20 bitcoin isn't illegal, and it doesn't violate our -- meaning
- 21 it's not improper to want to do?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And so when the term "dirty coins" is used, you said
- 24 | that's because they're from illegal gains. But the "dirty"
- 25 in that word could be because it has your address on it.

- 1 Right? And the goal is to not have your address on it.
- 2 A. I'm not sure where -- I don't agree with the statement
- 3 the goal is not to have your address on it.
- 4 Q. Well, isn't -- the goal of using this mixer is to
- 5 conduct the transaction anonymously, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And so this mixer takes your address out of it so it's
- 8 no longer tied to you, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And so the goal of using it is to take your address
- off of it, right? Isn't that the whole point?
- 12 A. Well, it can be used for that, yes. More of the goal
- when we're talking about transactions from the dark web
- 14 here, though, is for dark web vendors who receive bitcoin
- for selling drugs, for example, those vendors are typically
- 16 not able to pay their rent in bitcoin. So they need to get
- 17 | that bitcoin out.
- 18 Well, right now it's in AlphaBay, which these bitcoin
- analytics tools and law enforcement know the bitcoin
- addresses associated with AlphaBay, for the most part.
- 21 So if they went direct from AlphaBay to an exchange, a
- 22 company that exchanges bitcoin for U.S. dollars, if they
- 23 went direct there, that exchange would, again, the same
- 24 tools we're using, would see that that money is coming from
- 25 AlphaBay, and they would close the account. Might file a

suspicious activity report. It would come on law enforcement's radar.

So instead, these vendors use Helix and other mixers and tumblers to kind of erase AlphaBay from their history, and then they can go through the exchange and cash out.

- Q. So the tumbler or mixer could be used on both sides of that transaction, is that right, because it's used by the buyer and then by the market afterward?
- A. It can be.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

- 10 Q. I'll go into the notion of money because the
 11 government spent a lot of time going over potential assets
 12 that Mr. Harmon may or may not have.
 - You all seized a number of storage devices commonly used to store bitcoin, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And those are maintained by you in evidence, right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. And the only way Mr. Harmon would be able to access any of those would be to have a 24-word code for each one,
- 20 correct?
- A. He could also have copies of those Trezors or those hardware wallets with family, friends, places that we didn't search.
- Q. But, I mean, to be frank, the one taped to the bottom of a desk, you would assume he did not want anyone to have.

That was a valuable one, correct? It was hidden? 1 2 It was hidden, correct. Α. 3 And you don't have any reason other than speculation 4 to think that there are additional copies of those anywhere, 5 do you? 6 THE COURT: Additional copies of what? 7 MR. FLOOD: Of the hardware wallets, Your Honor, 8 that hold the bitcoins. 9 THE COURT: All the conceivable hardware wallets, 10 or which ones are you talking about? 11 MR. FLOOD: I believe they seized four or five. 12 BY MR. FLOOD: 13 And my question is, really, if --Ο. 14 MR. FLOOD: I'll make the point better in 15 argument, I promise. But the point is, Your Honor, they 16 already have these devices. And I'm asking the government 17 whether they have any reason to believe that there are 18 copies of these devices anywhere else, or any others out 19 there that you believe belong to Mr. Harmon? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. Just based on the fact 21 that -- how spread out these devices were, right? We found 22 one here in Akron under the desk. We found two in Belize. 23 We found one in California. All these places are in the 24 name of Larry Harmon. They're all leases or things that are

25

owned by him.

And so who knows the places that we weren't able to search. Just the nature of how spread out these were and the fact that they were concealed, who knows how many other places they are. Who knows the places that we may have missed in the places that we actually did search.

6 BY MR. FLOOD:

1

2

3

4

5

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 7 Q. Right. So you can speculate, but you don't know. Who 8 knows?
- 9 A. Well, if I knew, then we would have --
- Q. Right. And you did a lot of these searches and you went to these places he leases and you found these devices,

Α.

correct?

Correct.

- Q. And each one would require a 24-word code. Would it be a different one for each?
 - A. So the 24-word code is only to generate or renew or whatever. Like, if you had a brand new Trezor wallet, you entered in those 24 code, that would give you access to your private key that was on another Trezor wallet.
 - Q. I see.
 - A. But if you have just a Trezor wallet that's already ready to go, it already has those words entered in, then all you do is enter a pin to unlock it. You have to plug it into your computer, run the software, and enter a pin.
- 25 Q. I want to turn real quickly to Government's Exhibit 8.

Excuse me a minute. I need to check 1 THE COURT: 2 something. 3 MR. FLOOD: Sure. 4 (Pause.) 5 THE COURT: I would like to get an estimate from 6 counsel for how much longer you believe you're going to be 7 because we do have transport issues to get the defendant 8 back to the facility that the marshals need to transport. 9 MR. FLOOD: I have probably five minutes of 10 questioning at the most. I was solely going to proffer his 11 family members. So I'm not going to have much of a case, 12 Your Honor. It will -- I can be done in ten minutes. I 13 don't know about the government. 14 MR. RIEDL: As it stands now, Your Honor, I would 15 have approximately a five-minute redirect. 16 THE COURT: All right. But then we have, 17 following that, you have -- this is your witness. You have 18 additional evidence you're planning to present? 19 MR. RIEDL: The government has no additional 20 evidence. We would proffer the indictment and the 21 government's brief that we filed but no additional 2.2 witnesses. 23 THE COURT: And then both sides will be 24 presenting arguments? MR. RIEDL: I could be done in five minutes with 25

- 1 argument.
- 2 THE COURT: And what about defendant's argument,
- 3 Mr. Flood?
- 4 MR. FLOOD: Yes, Your Honor. Five minutes at the
- 5 most.
- 6 THE COURT: All right. Well, we'll continue.
- 7 Of course I have heard counsel make statements before
- 8 like "I've only got five minutes" and it turns into
- 9 considerably longer than that. Hopefully that won't be the
- 10 case today. But counsel do tend to use more time than they
- 11 estimate.
- 12 MR. FLOOD: I'm going to use less, Your Honor.
- 13 BY MR. FLOOD:
- 14 Q. Agent, the picture on Government's Exhibit 8 and 9,
- 15 you don't know who took that photo, right?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. This Coin Ninja and DropBit, the business you raided
- 18 the other day, there is nothing illegal there, is there?
- 19 A. Coin Ninja is not registered as an MSB.
- 20 Q. But it's on the clear web, meaning it's on the web
- 21 that everyone sees all the time that's not hidden in any
- 22 way, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. But it may not be properly registered as a money
- 25 transmitting business; is that right?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- THE COURT: You had another name you were asking him about. What was that, besides Coin Ninja?
- 4 MR. FLOOD: DropBit is, I believe, the program
- 5 that the app that Coin Ninja made. Is that --
- 6 THE WITNESS: That's my understanding, yes.
- 7 BY MR. FLOOD:
- 8 Q. Okay. And that doesn't have anything to do with the
- 9 dark web. That's just something that one coin -- one holder
- 10 of a bitcoin could send someone else a bitcoin using that
- 11 program?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 MR. FLOOD: That's all I have, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Riedl.
- 15 MR. RIEDL: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF JEREMIAH HAYNIE
- 17 BY MR. RIEDL:
- 18 Q. Are bitcoin addresses associated with an individual
- just like a bank account would be?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Can you describe that?
- 22 A. Just on its own, a bitcoin address -- if all I had was
- a bitcoin address, I do not know typically who it's
- associated with unless it's -- unless it's spent with
- 25 somebody I know, for example. Then I would know who has it.

But just a bitcoin address itself, no.

- Q. And as an investigator, if all you have is that bitcoin address, can you send a subpoena to a bank to find out who owns that address?
- A. No.

Q. But you were asked questions about whether bitcoin is traceable. You said it was pseudoanonymous.

So how can you trace or track bitcoin?

A. So if we know one side of the transaction when bitcoin is spent, then, you know, we can take the steps to trace where it came from.

If our blockchain analysis software knows where the addresses came from or knows from its heuristics who the address might be controlled by, then we can take steps to try to figure out who actually controls the address.

- Q. And what if that bitcoin passed through a tumbler? How does that affect your ability to trace the bitcoin?
- A. It's typically a dead end.
 - Q. You were asked questions if you can -- whether an individual looking at the blockchain can tell if bitcoin came from an illegal source. And you answered just based on looking at an address you can't tell that.

But are there sometimes surrounding evidence that you can use to tell whether someone knew if bitcoin came from an illegal source?

- A. Again, we would use blockchain analysis software to try to figure out how close that bitcoin was to an illegal entity like the Darknet market.
 - Q. And I would like to ask specifically about Helix. Did the IRS uncover evidence that Helix, or the administrator of Helix, you've already said is Larry Harmon, knew that the money going into Helix was from an illegal source?
- 8 A. Yes. Helix worked closely with AlphaBay.
 - Q. And was, according to Government's Exhibit 5, was AlphaBay the number one contributor of funds to Helix?
- 11 A. Yes.

5

6

7

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12 Q. If someone went to AlphaBay, you were asked questions 13 about -- well, we'll get to that in a second.

But if someone went to AlphaBay, would they have any doubt what AlphaBay is selling?

A. No. Once you log in, there is, similar to these — there is vendor advertisements and there is photos of drugs for the most part.

And on the left-hand side there were categories which were different drugs, MDMA, meth, ectasy, marijuana. Those type of categories were on the website.

Q. And you were asked -- in Exhibit 2 there was a search typed in for cocaine, and you were asked could you also have searched Grams for neck ties. And you said, well, you could.

1 Have you ever seen a neck tie for sale on the dark 2 web?

I have not.

3

13

14

15

16

- 4 And so based on your training and experience, what was
- 5 Grams used to search for? If you're searching the
- 6 marketplace as the Grams wiped, what were you searching for?
- 7 You were searching for illegal items.

the list from the defendant's phone.

- 8 You were asked several questions about whether items Q. 9 had been seized and assets had been seized.
- 10 Government's Exhibits 11 and 13 are charts, 11 Government's Exhibit 11 being the Google Drive chart and 13 12
 - Have all of the assets that are listed there, those tens of millions of dollars, have those assets already been seized by law enforcement in this investigation?
 - Α. No.
- 17 Are there in fact tens of millions of dollars that 18 have not yet been seized in this investigation?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Switching gears slightly, can a single bitcoin address 0. 21 be stored on multiple devices?
- 22 Yes. Α.
- 23 And in addition to being stored on multiple hardware Q.
- 24 devices, can that same old bitcoin address also be stored on
- 25 electronic or soft wallets?

1 Α. Yes. 2 We talked about things that you could find on the dark Q. 3 And you said you'd never seen neck ties. web. 4 Have you seen fraudulent identity documents for sale 5 on the dark web? 6 Yes, I have. Α. Is that a commonly listed item for sale? Q. 8 Yes, it is. Α. 9 MR. RIEDL: Thank you. No further questions. 10 MR. FLOOD: Your Honor, just three quick ones. 11 THE COURT: I'm not going to let this go on 12 forever. 13 MR. FLOOD: No, I understand. 14 THE COURT: You'll get your three questions, and 15 then he's going to want questions. 16 So we're shortly going to conclude. MR. FLOOD: Okay. I understand, Your Honor. 17 18 RECROSS EXAMINATION OF JEREMIAH HAYNIE 19 BY MR. FLOOD: 20 Agent, to your knowledge has there been another money 21 laundering prosecution of bitcoin mixing ever? 22 MR. RIEDL: Objection. Relevance. 23 THE COURT: I'll allow it. 24 THE WITNESS: The Bestmixer out of the 25 Netherlands, an investigation by the Netherlands police into

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a mixer called Bestmixer.io was just a year ago, maybe. BY MR. FLOOD: In e-mail prior from the government, they said they were monitoring Mr. Harmon's bitcoin accounts. Can you all monitor his accounts to see whether transactions are made from his accounts while he's in custody? The accounts we know about, yeah. Α. MR. FLOOD: That's all I have, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Riedl, did you have anything else? MR. RIEDL: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: You may step down. I did have one question for you. At the very outset you were stating your name. And I had been told your name was Jeremy, but I thought I heard you say Jeremiah. THE WITNESS: Jeremiah it is, yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. That was all I wanted to know. So, Mr. Riedl, have you concluded your evidentiary presentation? MR. RIEDL: Essentially, Your Honor, we would ask the Court to take note of the indictment which I believe you essentially have in your statements but also proffer the

statements in the government's memorandum in support of 1 2 pretrial detention. THE COURT: Well, both of those items are on the 3 4 So they're part of the case file. The memorandum 5 was filed this morning, I believe. 6 MR. RIEDL: That's correct, Your Honor. 7 you. 8 THE COURT: All right. And at this point, it's 9 defendant's opportunity to present evidence. So, Mr. Flood. 10 MR. FLOOD: Thank you, Your Honor. I would just proffer that Mr. Harmon's family and friends are present, 11 12 Your Honor. 13 Present are his father, Larry Senior; his sister, 14 Carrie; his mother, Mary; Mr. Harmon's wife, Margo; and his 15 brother, Darrie. 16 I would ask the Court to take note of the Pretrial 17 Services report. 18 And the testimony, if they were called, would be that 19 each of them would put up whatever they can in terms of 20 bond. I know Mr. Harmon Senior, Larry Harmon Senior, has 21 been interviewed and does have sufficient property, if the 22 Court wanted property security for bond. 23 I think that would be -- in terms of the proffer from 24 the defense, Your Honor, that would be it, that he is a

life-long resident of Akron, Ohio. He does not own any

25

property in Belize or California. Those are leased properties, Your Honor.

In terms of evidence, that's all.

THE COURT: All right. So you did, I believe, proffer -- you didn't use the word "proffer." You asked me to take note of the Pretrial Services report.

MR. FLOOD: I'm sorry. I would proffer the Pretrial Services report also, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FLOOD: Thank you.

THE COURT: In that regard, we do have our Pretrial Services officer here, Officer Julie Gray.

And as I usually do, and I may ask you again later on, you did submit a Pretrial Services report. I saw that earlier today.

So you have now heard the evidentiary presentations of the parties. So I would ask you at this point, did you have anything you wish to -- do you stand by your report or anything you wish to change about the report or add to it?

THE PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER: Your Honor, after listening to the evidence presented today, I do have more concerns regarding risk of flight based on the potential of other assets, other residences. While he may or may not own them, he has a lease. That's information he did not provide to this officer during the interview.

I believe he is a risk of flight, and for that reason 1 2 I would change my recommendation to detention. 3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 4 So at this point, does that conclude your evidentiary 5 presentation, Mr. Flood? 6 MR. FLOOD: Your Honor, I failed to make the 7 Court aware that they have seized his passport also in the 8 I think that's important to the Court to know as search. 9 well. 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 MR. FLOOD: And I would also proffer, Your Honor, 12 that the Belize residence is leased by the company Coin 13 Ninja. It is not leased by Mr. Harmon personally. 14 THE COURT: And the company Coin Ninja, however, 15 is controlled by Mr. Harmon, correct? 16 Is controlled by -- yes, I believe MR. FLOOD: 17 it's a registered Delaware corporation. Yes, Your Honor, 18 controlled by him. 19 THE COURT: All right. Does that complete your 20 evidentiary --21 MR. FLOOD: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 22 THE COURT: Okay. So at this time I'll hear 23 brief argument from the parties and bearing in mind that we 24 need two determinations to make. 25 The first is whether defendant sitting in the

courtroom is the individual named in the indictment issued out of the District of Columbia.

The second determination is whether defendant should be detained pursuant to the government's motion for detention or whether he should be released on bond.

So you need to address both of those issues.

Mr. Riedl, you may proceed.

MR. RIEDL: Thank you.

Your Honor, as to the identity of the defendant, the Court heard from Special Agent Jeremy Haynie from the IRS. He testified — he specifically identified the defendant in the courtroom as the individual he was describing throughout his testimony as Larry Harmon.

He detailed why he believed that that was the same individual. Specifically, the e-mail accounts that were in common with the defendant and with the conspiracy, or the evidence of the conspiracy here, also the cell phone of the defendant, the fact that that was in fact Larry Harmon's cell phone, that Larry Harmon was found on the second floor of the business in immediate proximity to that cell phone that contained information about the bitcoin, and in addition, in very close proximity to that Trezor wallet that was taped with double-sided tape under the desk immediately adjacent to where the defendant was when the search warrant was executed.

If the Court would like to hear more about that, I could go on, but I believe that that should be sufficient for identity of the defendant.

THE COURT: I don't think I need anything further at this point.

You may proceed with the rest of your argument.

MR. RIEDL: Thank you, Your Honor.

The government's argument in favor of detention relies on risk of flight pursuant to 18 United States Code, Section 3142(e).

The state of the evidence for finding risk of flight is preponderance of the evidence.

There are three factors that the Court can consider here. First is the nature and circumstances of the offense.

To briefly summarize what's set forth in great detail in the government's memorandum, the defendant was responsible for laundering more than \$300 million in bitcoin, the vast majority of which came from Darknet marketplaces. And those Darknet marketplaces that he was associated with, or his company was associated with, were involved primarily in the sale of drugs.

And I point to paragraph 2 of the indictment in which the defendant himself stated that he believed that the Darknet primarily sold drugs.

And also in paragraph 5 of the indictment, the

defendant, Mr. Harmon, himself, was advertising how Helix assists in avoiding law enforcement.

So he was well aware that the money that he was laundering was in fact drug money, was from a specific unlawful activity, and he was well aware that the goal of Helix was cleaning.

In fact, in the statement that the government introduced in Government's Exhibit 3, it showed what Helix does in the simplest terms. You put in dirty bitcoin, and you get out clean bitcoin. And if there were a more succinct description of laundering money, I don't know what it would be.

The defendant --

THE COURT: Mr. Flood's theory is dirty could simply mean that it would identify you. I take it you don't agree with that.

MR. RIEDL: We don't, Your Honor, and the fact is there is no evidence of that.

The evidence is that Helix was directly tied to

Darknet marketplaces that were immediately responsible for selling drugs.

As Agent Haynie testified, if you go to AlphaBay, what you're immediately confronted with is meth and cocaine and drugs for sale.

That's what the marketplace was for. It wasn't for

neck ties. It was for illegal drugs. And if you went to the marketplace, you would immediately be confronted with that.

And, again, the defendant himself stated that, as put forth in the indictment, the defendant himself stated that he believed that the purpose of the Darknet was primarily for the sale of drugs.

The defendant, again, talking about the nature and circumstances of the offense, he is facing 235 to 293 months of incarceration. And the total statutory max here would be 30 years if these individual offenses were run consecutively.

So Count 1 is a stat max of 20 years, and the other two offenses five years each. So he could very well be facing decades in prison.

And he has access to the means to flee. He has access to tens of millions of dollars, potentially, and he has a history of international travel.

From the Presentence Services report, we know that as recently as December of 2019, so just over two months ago, he was in Belize for Christmas. And he had a residence in Belize.

And as you heard from Special Agent Haynie, the investigation is ongoing. And the agents, as recently as yesterday, searched a residence tied to the defendant in

California that they were not aware of despite a yearslong investigation until after they executed the search warrants last week.

And so that investigation is ongoing, the investigation into the assets, into the devices that were seized, and even the investigation into locations that are controlled or were controlled by the defendant.

And in that California search warrant as recently as yesterday, they did find another hard wallet that potentially contains cryptocurrency.

And Agent Haynie testified that there are tens of millions of dollars in cryptocurrency currently unaccounted for in this investigation. And he provided ample testimony about how easy it would be for someone to recreate those wallets if they were free in the community.

We know also from testimony that the defendant has a history of using private jets to travel. So he has traveled to both Jamaica and Belize on private jets.

And so that should also certainly cause concern for the Court that he poses a risk of flight.

He has 47 million reasons to flee the community given the length of sentence that he is serving and the substantial resources that he potentially has at his fingertips that the government does not have access to now.

I would also note for the Court that the defendant did

not report those assets to Pretrial Services. You heard substantial evidence that the defendant has access to tens of millions of dollars in cryptocurrency both from that Google Drive spreadsheet on his own Google Drive and the app on his own phone, both showing more than \$45 million in assets and neither of which the defendant reported to Pretrial Services.

I would note, finally, that this is an out-of-district arrest. And ultimately the decision of detention would be forwarded to the district court in the District of Columbia, and so that judge ultimately will make the decision. But obviously as we sit here today, it is this Court's decision.

Based on the assets the defendant has, based on his history of travel, based on his false reporting to Pretrial Services, he poses a very real risk of flight. There are no circumstances, no conditions the Court could put in place that would ensure that he will not flee this community. Therefore, we would ask that he be detained.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. FLOOD: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Flood.

MR. FLOOD: At the outset, Your Honor, I would like to point out Mr. Harmon informed Pretrial Services that the government had seized all of his bitcoin. So it's not

listed as an asset there, but it has all been seized by the government.

And so, you know, I don't know why the report doesn't reflect that. But that's what he told Pretrial Services, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, there may be a distinction between what he told Pretrial Services and what the facts are.

MR. FLOOD: Right, but the government -- okay. The government has seized, and you heard from the agent, all of these devices from numerous locations. And they have seized those devices, and you cannot, unless you go a difficult route, you cannot access that money, okay.

You need the devices to access the money, unless you go a much different longer harder more difficult route.

Okay. As --

THE COURT: What I did hear the agent testify to was that not all the assets were seized, that he believes that tens of millions of dollars haven't been -- remain that have not been seized.

MR. FLOOD: And I think the reality is, Your Honor, they don't know what's on those devices because they're locked. So they don't know if there is outstanding assets seized or not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And nor do you.

MR. FLOOD: Nor do I.

We have, just for the Court's edification, we have offered to the government to unlock those devices. And we did so, saying if your concern is that he will, if released, get this money and flee, you may transfer the money into your accounts, like a regular fraud case.

We have made that offer to the government, Your Honor.

MR. RIEDL: And to be clear, Your Honor, we accept that offer. We would happily accept the information.

What was offered to us is if he provides you the pins, would you agree to his release. And the answer there was no.

But certainly to the extent he wishes to provide the passwords and the PINs and the information that would allow us to access that bitcoin, we would happily accept that offer.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. FLOOD: And the point is, Your Honor, the whole gist of their motion for detention is the notion that he has access to money and he will, therefore, flee.

He's had access to money since this thing shut down, obviously, in 2017 when he -- when it was shut down, not by law enforcement, not by anybody else, but shut down volitionally and voluntarily.

Your Honor, I would remind the Court that the default

position of the law is that the defendant should be released pending trial. It is only upon a showing that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of Mr. Harmon at his trial.

Mr. Harmon is a life-long resident of Akron. His grandmother was born here. They still have his grandfather's ranch. His parents are here. His family is here.

He has, despite having flown on a private plane to

Jamaica and having a lease on a condo in Belize, there is no

evidence to suggest that he would flee. There is a

speculation the government has that he can get out and

obtain this money and go to Belize.

That's simply not the case, Your Honor. And they've not met their burden. The original Pretrial Services report, not the new amended Pretrial Services report, recommended a \$50,000 unsecured bond.

Whether or not the defendant has money is not something the Court should consider greatly. Very frequently we're detaining people who don't have any money. And now they want to detain someone because they say he has money.

Mr. Harmon will appear for his trial in Washington
D.C., Your Honor. I suggest that he be restricted to travel
to the Northern District of Ohio, the D.C. district.

I would ask that he be allowed to travel to the Southern District of Texas. If you want to do GPS monitoring, we would agree to that.

But I don't think that they have addressed all the conditions that this Court could impose that would significantly monitor his behavior and make sure he appears for trial, Your Honor.

We would ask that you either grant the \$50,000 unsecured bond, or if, at the Court's request, I know his father has property that he can put up as bond. Or they could post a surety bond, I'm sure, Your Honor.

That's all I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

I did have another question for Pretrial Services.

The question was raised as to whether defendant had been candid or truthful in his statement to the Office of Pretrial Services, specifically with regard to his assets, I believe.

So, Officer Gray, having again heard what has transpired through the testimony, the evidence presented, the argument, can you tell me whether you have a conclusion as to whether the defendant was truthful when interviewed by you or by your office?

THE PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER: Your Honor, when I asked the defendant about his employment, he did tell me

he had been operating Coin Ninja for about two and a half years. He said he has been paying himself for some things, but selling bitcoin, the company is not making, really making money, that he is not earning any income from that, was his report to myself.

He did report the residence where he resides in Bath, a property in -- he wasn't sure if it was in Franklin or Green, and a home in Kent that his mother resides in as his only assets.

He told me the only debt that he pays out are \$4,000 a month mortgage on his current residence and about \$10,000 in credit card debt. He reported no other lease payments, no other properties that were in his name, leased or owned.

THE COURT: Did he make any disclosure with regard to any bitcoin assets or bank accounts or other financial assets?

THE PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER: He did not. He did mention that there may be some stock, but -- he did mention that the government has seized assets from him.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Well, the Court is required at this time to make two determinations. The first is whether the government has presented sufficient evidence to conclude or to determine that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant seated in the courtroom is the defendant named in the

indictment issued out of the District of Colombia.

With regard to that, and there was not any serious contesting of that or any contesting at all of that in the defendant's argument.

So the Court will conclude that the government has satisfied its burden there and that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant seated in the courtroom is the defendant named in the indictment issued out of the District of Columbia.

With regard to the second determination that the Court is required to make, and that is whether the government's motion for detention should be granted, we've heard considerable evidence as to the nature and circumstances of the offenses that defendant is charged with in the three-count indictment.

Those offenses do carry a substantial penalty. The statutory penalty is a maximum of 20 years.

The government has submitted a memorandum indicating that under the sentencing guidelines, the sentence that could be imposed but not necessarily -- it's obviously the Court's determination as to what sentence will be imposed.

But the sentencing range would be 235 to 243 months. So considerably above the 20 years, taking into account the enhancement factors for the amount of funds, the defendant's knowledge, etcetera.

Obviously sentencing in the final analysis is something that the Court will determine.

The statutory penalty as well as the potential guideline range that applies to this case does indicate to the Court that this is a serious offense and is regarded as such by Congress which sets forth — and by the Sentencing Commission which sets forth the sentencing parameters that apply to the offense.

The weight of the evidence against the defendant, while the defendant did raise some -- his counsel raised questions as to whether defendant potentially didn't know where the bitcoin was coming from or that it was coming from Darknet sources engaged in illegal activity, it appears that notwithstanding those questions raised by the defendant, that the government's case against this defendant is a strong one.

The investigation has been lengthy and thorough. And it appears that — as I said, at the end of the day, the case will be tried, and a jury might conclude otherwise. But at this juncture, it certainly appears that the government's case is strong.

With regard to the history and the characteristics of the defendant, this defendant has strong ties to this community. His family resides here. He has a residence here, although he has residences in other locations as well.

So he's been a resident for a considerable period of time.

His criminal history is, I would say, described as negligible. It consists of a single arrest for possession of marijuana back in 2005.

So his criminal history category -- criminal history is small. His family ties to the community are strong.

Those are factors that weigh in favor of the defendant.

What the defendant is contending is not that the defendant -- excuse me, what the government is contending is not that the defendant is a danger to the community, but rather that he is a risk of flight.

And in that regard, the government's burden of proof is preponderance of the evidence to establish that the defendant is a risk of flight. It's not a clear and convincing standard.

Here it appears that the defendant has considerable assets. While some of his assets have been seized by the government, it appears that he has considerable assets remaining. Those assets, we don't know, but they potentially could be accessed by him through other persons who may have access to them.

These are things that are unknown to the Court but certainly are potential and, you know, he does appear to have control or the means of control over a considerable amount of assets that have not yet been seized. The agent

estimated in the range of tens of millions of dollars of assets that have not been seized, that the government has not seized.

The defendant is also charged with, as mentioned earlier, crimes that carry heavy penalties, in particular, the first crime he is charged with, which has a statutory maximum of 20 years and a guidelines range that could approach 30 years.

Again, while sentencing ultimately will be the determination of the Court, that at this juncture, faced with those heavy penalties, it does provide defendant a strong incentive to flee.

That is a factor that the Court must take into consideration -- or will take into consideration.

The defendant, it appears, was not candid with the Office of Pretrial Services in describing his assets. And there were exhibits submitted and attributed to the defendant. The defendant didn't contest those exhibits where defendant had indicated and advertised the services that he was providing through Helix as being a means to avoid and evade law enforcement.

So it -- defendant has a history, if I can put it that way, a history of using and causing others to use means to avoid law enforcement.

That's a factor that the Court must take into

consideration when considering whether defendant poses a risk of flight.

The conclusion of the Court is that the defendant — that the government has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant does pose a risk of flight and that the Court concludes that conditions could not be established that would reasonably assure that this defendant will appear for proceedings in the case as he is required to do.

Accordingly, the Court will order the defendant detained.

And having established that defendant is the person identified or named in the indictment, defendant will be ordered transferred to the District of Columbia for further proceedings under the indictment.

So at this time, Mr. Harmon, you'll be remanded to the custody of the United States marshal for -- you will be in their custody, and they will arrange for your transport to the District of Columbia.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.

(Proceedings concluded at 5:09 p.m.)

Cas	95	
1	INDEX	
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF JEREMIAH HAYNIE 9	
3	BY MR. RIEDL	
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF JEREMIAH HAYNIE 52	
5	BY MR. FLOOD	
6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF JEREMIAH HAYNIE 70	
7	BY MR. RIEDL	
8	RECROSS EXAMINATION OF JEREMIAH HAYNIE 74	
9	BY MR. FLOOD	
10		
11		
12	CERTIFICATE	
13		
14	I certify that the forgoing is a correct	
15	transcript from the record of proceedings in the	
16	above-entitled matter.	
17		
18	S/Caroline Mahnke 2/25/2020	
19	Caroline Mahnke, RMR, CRR, CRC Date	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		